

# Ultralow Voltage Resistive Switching in Ultrathin Silicon Oxide

Can Li, Hao Jiang, and Qiangfei Xia\*

Nanodevices and Integrated Systems Laboratory, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003

\*Email: qxia@ecs.umass.edu

Resistive switching phenomenon was discovered in amorphous silicon and silicon oxide more than 4 decades ago.<sup>1</sup> There is revived interest in using silicon based materials as the switching layer for resistive switches.<sup>2,3,4</sup> Although compatible with silicon technologies regarding materials and processes, recently reported silicon oxide based devices required high programming voltages (from 3.5 to 13V) that are incompatible with the state-of-the-art low-power CMOS transistors.

Here we report SiO<sub>x</sub> based memristive devices that exhibited nonvolatile resistive switching behavior with ultralow programming voltages (<0.5 V) and ultra-high ON/OFF conductance ratio (>10<sup>8</sup>). Each device consists of a heavily doped silicon bottom electrode, a ~1 nm thick SiO<sub>x</sub> switching layer that was produced in wet chemical (Piranha cleaning with H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) processes and a top metal electrode (Pt or Cu) (Fig. 1).

Fig. 2 shows the typical IV curves for devices with Pt and Cu top electrodes. Interestingly, the switching behavior was top electrode dependent: devices with inert metal electrodes (Pt) top electrodes exhibited nonpolar switching behavior while devices with active metal electrode (Cu) were bipolar. The turn on voltage (V<sub>SET</sub>) and turn off voltage (V<sub>RESET</sub>) for Pt/SiO<sub>x</sub>/Si device were -2.6 V and -0.9 V, and those for the Cu/SiO<sub>x</sub>/Si device were +0.5 V and -1.3 V, respectively. The Cu/SiO<sub>x</sub>/Si device also exhibited very high ON/OFF conductance ratio (>10<sup>8</sup> at 0.1 V ).

The observation suggests that different mechanisms have governed the switching behavior for devices with different metal electrodes. Specifically, switching with inert metal electrodes was intrinsic within SiO<sub>x</sub>. SET process involved electrochemical reduction of SiO<sub>x</sub> to Si nanocrystal, while RESET process was dictated by the Si oxidization process caused by current generated Joule heating (Fig. 3a). Both processes were voltage polarity independent. On the other hand, resistive switching for devices with Cu top electrodes was based on cation generation and migration inside of the SiO<sub>x</sub>. Cu ions were generated at the top electrode/oxide interface, travelled to the bottom electrode under positive bias, and were reduced to Cu metal at the bottom electrode/SiO<sub>x</sub> interface, forming a conductive metal filament inside of the oxide. When a negative bias was applied to the top electrode, this process was reversed, corresponding to the RESET process (Fig. 3b).

Fig. 4 shows the switching curves and switching voltages of devices with Pt top electrodes during the first 115 DC sweeps. The corresponding cumulative distribution functions (CDF) are also plotted. The coefficients of variation of SET and RESET voltage are respectively 5.22%, 11.82%. The statistics data indicate that the devices had excellent cycle-to-cycle uniformity.

<sup>1</sup> Simmons, J. G.; Verderber, R. R., in *Proc. R. Soc. London*, 1967, Ser. A **301**, 77–102

<sup>2</sup> Yao, J.; Sun, Z. Z.; Zhong, L.; Natelson, D. & Tour, J. M., *Nano Lett.*, **10**, 4105 – 4110 (2010)

<sup>3</sup> C. Schindler, S. C. P. Thermadam, R. Waser, and M. N. Kozicki, *IEEE T Electron Dev.* **54**, 2762 (2007).

<sup>4</sup> A. Mehnec, S. Cueff, M. Wojdak, S. Hudziak, C. Labbe, R. Rizk, and A. J. Kenyon, *Nanotechnology* **23**, 455201 (2012).

