## Modeling of Local Dielectric Charging-up during SEM Observation

Zh. H. Cheng, H. Koyama, Y. Kimura, and H. Shinada Central Research Lab., Hitachi, Ltd., 1-280 Higashi-koigakubo, Kokubunji-shi, 185-8601, Japan zhaohui.cheng.cz@hitachi.com

## O. Komuro

Hitachi High Technologies America, Inc., Semiconductor Metrology Division, 2900 NW 229th Avenue, Suite 200, Hillsboro, OR 97124

It is known that during SEM observation, the electron irradiation on dielectrics results in charging-up at the dielectrics. The accumulated charge results in not only distortion, but also contrast of SEM images [2-3]. In this study, authors propose an analytical model and derive the intrinsic parameters that govern the collecting efficiency, which provides a convenient way to suppress the variation in image contrast within the field of view during SEM observation.

The experimental setup is based on a CD-SEM (S-9380, Hitachi High-Technologies Corporation) modified with variable scanning speed capability and corresponding image-acquisition system. The current of secondary electrons collected from sample surface of dielectrics during single-line scanning of the primary electron beam, varied in probe current  $I_p$  and scan speed v, was deduced from the corresponding SEM images. A concept named "scanning-line-density"  $\lambda_{in}$ , the number of incident electrons per unit scan distance during a single-line scanning, is proposed. Besides, the collecting efficiency  $Y_s$  quoted in the following is defined as the ratio of the current  $I_c$  of collected SEs and BSEs to  $I_p$ . Experiments showed that  $Y_s$  obtained from flat SiO<sub>2</sub> layer decreased sharply at the initial stage of a single-line scanning and leveled out at a distance of around 100 nm from the start position of the line scan.  $Y_s$  at steady state decreases monotonously with increment of  $\lambda_{in}$  and settled to 1 at  $\lambda_{in}$  greater than 5.7 electrons/nm, while it increases up to 5.3 at  $\lambda_{in}$  of 0.057 electrons/nm. Figure 1 indicates that during a single-line scanning, the intrinsic parameter that controls  $Y_s$  is  $\lambda_{in}$ . We propose a macroscopic model for the analytical simulation of evolution of potential  $V_s$  at an irradiated position on a sample surface, the potential barrier  $V_B$  over the position and  $I_c$  during single-line scanning. Change in  $Y_s$ , together with calculated  $V_s$  and  $V_B$ , during single-line scanning with  $\lambda_{in}$  of 3.4 electrons/nm is summarized in Fig. 2.  $Y_s$  decreased to 45% of its initial magnitude at steady state. Calculation reproduced the trend well. Our model indicated that it resulted from the building-up of charge and  $V_B$ , which pulled back more SEs to the sample surface at the subsequent irradiation positions. Variation in  $Y_s$  during single-line scanning can be suppressed by decreasing  $\lambda_{in}$ . The brightness profile obtained at a single-line scanning with  $\lambda_{in}$  of 0.17 electrons/nm is plotted in Fig. 3. Decrease in  $Y_s$  was suppressed to 6%.

In conclusion,  $\lambda_{in}$  is an effective parameter in controlling  $Y_s$  during SEM observation of dielectrics, which is very important for keeping uniformity in image contrast during SEM observation.

## REFERENCES

[1] J.J. Hwu and D.C. Joy, Scanning 21 (1991).

[2] Fujioka H, Nakamae K, and Ura K, Core model for potential distribution on Insulator surfaces in the scanning electron microscope, Xlth ICEM, Kyoto, pp. 643(1986).

[3] C. Le Gressus, F. Valin, M. Gautier, J.P. Draud, J. Cazaux, and H. Okuzumi, Scanning Vol. 12, 203-210 (1990).



Fig.1 Dependence of collecting efficiency  $Y_s$  of SEs and BSEs on scanning line density ( $\lambda_{in}$ ).

Fig.2 Decrease in  $Y_s$  during single-line scanning with  $\lambda_{in}$  of 3.4 electrons/nm. Charge building-up resulted to increment in  $V_B$ , which pulled more SEs back to sample surface.



Fig.3 Change in  $Y_s$  during single-line scanning with  $\lambda_{in}$  of 0.17 electrons/nm. Weaker  $V_B$  at individual irradiation position contributes to higher  $Y_s$  and less variation in  $Y_s$  during the scanning.