## Effects of mask material conductivity on lateral undercut etching in silicon nano-pillar etching

Ripon Kumar Dey<sup>1</sup>, Bo Cui<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Waterloo Institute for Nanotechnology (WIN), University of Waterloo 200 University Ave. West, Waterloo, ON, N2L 3G1, Canada rdev@uwaterloo.ca

High aspect ratio silicon structures have gained significant interest due to its vast applications. Minimal lateral etch under the mask is essential to achieve very high aspect ratio silicon nanostructures. Previously, we reported that chromium oxide is better than metallic chromium as a hard mask for silicon etching in terms of etch rate and selectivity to resist during mask structure fabriction<sup>1</sup>. Here we report that insulating metal oxide etch mask like chromium oxide also provides less lateral etch than conducting metal etch mask using non-switch "pseudo-Bosch" dry etching.

The mask structure was fabricated by electron beam lithography and liftoff, with all the metal and metal oxide coated by evaporation. Silicon was etched using a non-switching pseudo-Bosch process (Oxford Instrument Plasmalab100, 10 mTorr, 20 W RF power, 1200 W ICP power, 38 sccm C4F8, 22 sccm SF6, 15 °C, etches Si 390 nm/min)<sup>2</sup>. Note that, with etching parameter modification, this non-switching process is also capable of tuning the sidewall taper angle from large positive (cone structure) to large negative (inverse cone structure) etch profile<sup>3,4</sup>.

Figure 1 and 2 showed the etched silicon pillar structures. As seen, the amount of lateral etch right underneath the mask is less for  $Cr_2O_3$  and  $Al_2O_3$  mask than Cr or Al masks. For instance, the lateral etching under  $Cr_2O_3$  mask was roughly half that under Cr mask (~7 nm vs. ~14 nm for 1.2 µm etching depth, Figure 1). Figure 3 showed that higher bias power leads to less undercut than lower one, due to increased and more directional physical bombardment by ions. Whereas it was found that metal oxide and metal have similar etching selectivity to silicon, the charge distribution on the mask would be different. We speculate that, for metal mask, the negative charge taking in from the plasma due to fast electron motion would move to the edge of the mask disk due to Coulomb repulsive force, attracting ions to bombard the area below the mask that results in more lateral etching; whereas for insulating mask, the negative charge distribution would be more even across the mask disk area since electrons cannot move in an insulator. Another possible cause for higher undercut when using metal mask is eddy current in the mask due to RF power source, which heats up the structure locally to enhance inhibitor (here fluorocarbon passivation layer) desorption<sup>5</sup>. However, the exact mechanism is unclear, as some report stated that mask charging would play a negligible role for ion energy  $\geq 50 \text{ eV}^6$ .

- F. Aydinoglu, F. Saffih, R. K. Dey and B. Cui, "Chromium oxide as a hard mask material better than metallic chromium", J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B, 35(6), 06GB01-1 (2017).
- [2] C. Con, J Zhang and B. Cui, "Nanofabrication of high aspect ratio structures using an evaporated resist containing metal", Nanotechnology, 25, 175301 (2014).
- [3] F. Saffih, C. Con, A. Alshammari and M. Yavuz, "Fabrication of silicon nanostructures with large taper angle by reactive ion etching", J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B, 32, 06FI04 (2014).
- [4] A. Ayari-Kanoun, F. Aydinoglu and B. Cui, "Silicon nanostructures with very large negatively tapered profile by inductively coupled plasma-RIE" J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B, 34, 06KD01 (2016).
- [5] H. V. Jansen, M. J. de Boer, J. F. Burger, R. Legtenberg and M. C. Elwenspoek, "Black silicon method II: the effect of mask material and loading on the reactive ion etching of deep silicon trenches", Micro. Eng., 27, 475-480 (1995).
- [6] R. A. Gottscho and C. W. Jurgensen, "Microscopic uniformity in plasma etching", J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B: Microelectronics and Nanometer Structures Processing, Measurement, and Phenomena 10, 2133 (1992).



Figure 1. SEM images of pillar structures with etch depth 1.2 um. (a) Cr mask with 100 nm diameter (~14 nm undercut); (b) Cr mask with 200 nm diameter (~13 nm undercut); (c) Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> mask with 100 nm diameter (~7 nm undercut); (d) Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> mask with 200 nm diameter (~7 nm undercut). All are etched using 100 W RF bias power.

Figure 2. SEM images of pillar structures with etch depth 1.2 um. (a) Al mask with 200 nm diameter (~27 nm undercut); (b) Al mask with 400 nm diameter (~25 nm undercut); (c) Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> mask with 200 nm diameter (~12 nm undercut); (d) Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> mask with 400 nm diameter (~11 nm undercut). All are etched using 20 W RF bias power.

> Figure 3. SEM images of pillar structures with etch depth of 1.2 um using various bias powers. (a) Cr mask (~28 nm undercut) with 20 W bias power; (b) Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> mask (~22 nm undercut) with 20 W bias power; (c) Cr mask (~13 nm undercut) with 100 W bias power; (d)  $Cr_2O_3$  mask (~7 nm undercut) with 100 W bias power. The mask diameter of all those pillars was 200 nm.

